

Australian Government Australian Transport Safety Bureau

# Flight control system event involving an Embraer 120, VH-YEI

Adelaide Airport, South Australia, 25 October 2016

ATSB Transport Safety Report Aviation Occurrence Investigation AO-2016-143 Final – 24 May 2017 Released in accordance with section 25 of the Transport Safety Investigation Act 2003

#### **Publishing information**

Published by:	Australian Transport Safety Bureau
Postal address:	PO Box 967, Civic Square ACT 2608
Office:	62 Northbourne Avenue Canberra, Australian Capital Territory 2601
Telephone:	1800 020 616, from overseas +61 2 6257 4150 (24 hours)
	Accident and incident notification: 1800 011 034 (24 hours)
Facsimile:	02 6247 3117, from overseas +61 2 6247 3117
Email:	atsbinfo@atsb.gov.au
Internet:	www.atsb.gov.au

#### © Commonwealth of Australia 2017

#### 

#### Ownership of intellectual property rights in this publication

Unless otherwise noted, copyright (and any other intellectual property rights, if any) in this publication is owned by the Commonwealth of Australia.

#### **Creative Commons licence**

With the exception of the Coat of Arms, ATSB logo, and photos and graphics in which a third party holds copyright, this publication is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Australia licence.

Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Australia Licence is a standard form license agreement that allows you to copy, distribute, transmit and adapt this publication provided that you attribute the work.

The ATSB's preference is that you attribute this publication (and any material sourced from it) using the following wording: *Source:* Australian Transport Safety Bureau

Copyright in material obtained from other agencies, private individuals or organisations, belongs to those agencies, individuals or organisations. Where you want to use their material you will need to contact them directly.

#### Addendum

Page	Change	Date

# Flight control system event involving an Embraer 120, VH-YEI

### What happened

On 25 October 2016, at about 0640 Central Daylight-saving Time (CDT), an Embraer-120 ER aircraft, registered VH-YEI (YEI), departed from Adelaide Airport, South Australia (SA), for a chartered passenger flight to Challenger, SA with 4 crew and 29 passengers on board.

During the initial climb, the pilot attempted to fully retract the flaps (flaps 0) from their take-off position (flaps 15). The crew received a flap disagreement warning from the outboard pair of flaps. The other two pairs of flaps (inboard and nacelle) retracted without issue. A flap disagreement fault is triggered when one flap within a pair is unable to move to its selected position. To prevent wing asymmetry and control issues, the pairing flap will also not move. The crew reported no noticeable aircraft handling or control issues as a result.

The crew contacted air traffic control (ATC) to notify them of the fault and requested a climb to 4,000 ft on their current heading. After levelling off and reducing power to a cruise setting, the crew consulted the quick reference handbook, which advised cycling the flaps to their original position and back again. The fault cleared when the flap control was lowered to 15 degrees, but occurred again upon retraction.

The captain and first officer discussed the situation and agreed to return to Adelaide. The crew then informed ATC. The first officer continued flying the aircraft, burning off fuel to reduce landing weight. The captain conducted the landing calculations and determined that they had sufficient runway to land with flaps 15, in case they were unable to deploy further.

During approach, the flaps fully extended (flaps 45) and the aircraft landed without further incident. The flaps were retracted after landing, and all three pairs moved to flaps 0 without the fault reoccurring. The crew visually inspected the flap and immediately identified a damaged bracket and fibreglass shroud.

There were no injuries as a result of the occurrence and the aircraft sustained no damage beyond the fractured bracket and shroud.

#### **Component Failure**

The failed bracket secured a roller to the underside of the wing. This roller supported the inboard side of the left hand outboard flap shroud, and was used to guide the flap shroud as it was deployed and retracted. Figure 1 shows failed the bracket, still fixed to the aircraft after it was identified by the flight crew.

The support of the roller pictured was not required for the flaps to actuate. This was apparent after landing, when the flaps were successfully retracted, despite the bracket fracture. It is likely that a portion of the bracket or its fibreglass housing obstructed the flap, preventing it from fully retracting during flight.



Figure 1: Bracket on the aircraft after it had failed. Most of the bracket is obscured by the fibreglass housing. Its outline is shown by the dotted red line.

Source: Flight crew

Figure 2 shows the failed component after it was removed from the aircraft. Figure 3 shows the fracture surface on the smaller of the two fragments. Two distinct regions were visible on the fracture surface. The upper region was tarnished and had likely been exposed to the atmosphere for a longer period of time. It was probable that this was the result of a pre-existing crack, and the stress in the remaining section resulted in failure of the component during normal operation.



Figure 2: The failed bracket after it had been removed from the aircraft.

Source: ATSB

Figure 3: Fracture surface illustrating the pre-existing crack and the overstress region, which fractured when the bracket finally failed.



Source: ATSB

#### Aircraft Maintenance

YEI was manufactured in 1992 and, at the time of the incident, had accumulated 41,961 hours total time in service. The aircraft was being maintained by a Civil Aviation Safety Regulations (CASA) approved maintenance organisation and the most recent comprehensive inspection was approximately 600 service hours prior, in November 2014. No problems with the outboard flap shroud or bracket were identified at that time.

Similarly, no problems were identified during the more recent line checks and daily inspections. However, the maintainer believes that the location of the bracket and the positioning of the shroud would make it difficult to see any cracks during this type of inspection.

#### Similar Occurrences

The CASA Service Difficulty Report (SDR) database shows two other reports of unserviceable flaps on EMB-120s. Both involved the left hand outboard flap, however the fault in both cases was unrelated to the inboard bracket or flap track. Likewise, no reports of issues with this part could be found in the US Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) SDR database.

# **Safety analysis**

A pre-existing crack on a partially concealed bracket propagated to the point where it failed in overstress during normal operation. The origin and age of the crack could not be determined, so it is not known whether there was an opportunity for it to have been detected during a base check conducted in 2014.

As a result of the bracket failure, the left hand outboard flap could not be fully retracted during climb and a flap disagreement warning occurred. It was unclear exactly how the failed bracket prevented the flap from retracting, but it may have been caused by a bracket fragment physically obstructing the flap.

While there were no adverse control or handling issues, the flight crew returned the aircraft to Adelaide Airport. The flaps extended for landing without any further problems.

All risk controls during this incident worked well. The aircraft's flap monitoring system identified the misalignment of the outboard flaps and prevented an asymmetry occurring. The crew were then adequately warned of the fault and advised ATC of the situation. The crew followed the quick reference handbook in an attempt to rectify the problem, but when the fault could not be cleared, returned the aircraft to Adelaide Airport. A contingency plan was formulated in the event the flaps could not be extended, but ultimately it was not necessary.

# Findings

These findings should not be read as apportioning blame or liability on any organisation or individual.

• Pre-existing cracking and subsequent fracture of a bracket supporting the left, outboard flap shroud prevented the flap from being fully retracted during climb and resulted in a flap disagreement warning.

# **Safety action**

Whether or not the ATSB identifies safety issues in the course of an investigation, relevant organisations may proactively initiate safety action in order to reduce their safety risk. The ATSB has been advised of the following proactive safety action in response to this occurrence.

#### The Operator

Following the identification of the failed bracket, the operator inspected similar parts on YEI as well as the rest of their Embraer-120 fleet. No further damage or signs of cracking were identified.

#### Safety message

This incident highlights the importance of comprehensive maintenance inspections in maintaining aircraft airworthiness. However, when technical failures occur in spite of rigorous maintenance procedures, it is important to have adequate risk controls in place, as well as trained crew capable of adjusting plans to account for unforeseen circumstances. In such an event, a positive outcome can be achieved by maintaining composure, planning ahead, and communicating with other crewmembers, ATC.

# **General details**

#### Occurrence details

Date and time:	25 October 2016 – 0640 CDT	
Occurrence category:	Incident	
Primary occurrence type:	Flight control system event	
Location:	Adelaide Aerodrome	
	Latitude: 34° 56.70' S	Longitude: 138° 31.83' E

#### Aircraft details

Manufacturer and model:	Embraer-Empresa Brasileira De Aeronautica EMB-120 ER		
Registration:	VH-YEI		
Serial number:	120.269		
Type of operation:	Charter - Passenger		
Persons on board:	Crew – 4	Passengers – 29	
Injuries:	Crew – 0	Passengers – 0	
Aircraft damage:	Nil		

# About the ATSB

The Australian Transport Safety Bureau (ATSB) is an independent Commonwealth Government statutory agency. The ATSB is governed by a Commission and is entirely separate from transport regulators, policy makers and service providers. The ATSB's function is to improve safety and public confidence in the aviation, marine and rail modes of transport through excellence in:

independent investigation of transport accidents and other safety occurrences; safety data recording, analysis and research; and fostering safety awareness, knowledge and action.

The ATSB is responsible for investigating accidents and other transport safety matters involving civil aviation, marine and rail operations in Australia that fall within Commonwealth jurisdiction, as well as participating in overseas investigations involving Australian registered aircraft and ships. A primary concern is the safety of commercial transport, with particular regard to operations involving the travelling public.

The ATSB performs its functions in accordance with the provisions of the *Transport Safety Investigation Act 2003* and Regulations and, where applicable, relevant international agreements.

The object of a safety investigation is to identify and reduce safety-related risk. ATSB investigations determine and communicate the safety factors related to the transport safety matter being investigated.

It is not a function of the ATSB to apportion blame or determine liability. At the same time, an investigation report must include factual material of sufficient weight to support the analysis and findings. At all times the ATSB endeavours to balance the use of material that could imply adverse comment with the need to properly explain what happened, and why, in a fair and unbiased manner.

## **About this report**

Decisions regarding whether to conduct an investigation, and the scope of an investigation, are based on many factors, including the level of safety benefit likely to be obtained from an investigation. For this occurrence, a limited-scope, fact-gathering investigation was conducted in order to produce a short summary report, and allow for greater industry awareness of potential safety issues and possible safety actions.