Aviation Safety Investigation Report 199301904

Airbus A320

26 June 1993

Readers are advised that the Australian Transport Safety Bureau investigates for the sole purpose of enhancing transport safety. Consequently, Bureau reports are confined to matters of safety significance and may be misleading if used for any other purposes.

Investigations commenced on or before 30 June 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the Executive Director of the Bureau in accordance with Part 2A of the Air Navigation Act 1920.

Investigations commenced after 1 July 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the Executive Director of the Bureau in accordance with the Transport Safety Investigation Act 2003 (TSI Act). Reports released under the TSI Act are not admissible as evidence in any civil or criminal proceedings.

NOTE: All air safety occurrences reported to the ATSB are categorised and recorded. For a detailed explanation on Category definitions please refer to the ATSB website at www.atsb.gov.au.

The Bureau did not conduct an on scene investigation of this occurrence. The information presented below was obtained from information supplied to the Bureau.

Occurrence Number:	199301904Occurrence Type: Inciden		: Incident
Location:	Enroute Oodnadatta-Woomera		
State:	SA	Inv Category:	4
Date:	Saturday 26 June 1993		
Time:	0537 hours	Time Zone	CST
Highest Injury Level: None			
Aircraft Manufacturer: Airbus Aircraft Model: A320-211			
Aircraft Registration:	VH-HYC	Serial Num	<b>ber:</b> 024
<b>Type of Operation:</b>	Air Transport Domestic Pa	ssenger	
Damage to Aircraft:	Nil		
<b>Departure Point:</b>	Darwin NT		
<b>Departure Time:</b>			
Destination:	Adelaide SA		

Approved for Release: Wednesday, October 26, 1994

VH-HYC was under the control of Melbourne Sector one on track

from Oodnadatta to Woomera cruising at Flight Level (FL) 380. The pilot requested a climb to FL390. The aircraft was cleared to FL390 but the coordination of level change was not passed onto Adelaide Control which was the next controlling authority.

The sector controller advised that there was more than 15 minutes to the adjoining airspace boundary when the aircraft requested a climb which meant he did not have to coordinate with Adelaide Control prior to approving the level change. He believes that he cocked the VH-HYC flight strip as a reminder to coordinate with Adelaide after approving the level change. It is possible that while processing two other flight strips, he may have inadvertently knocked the strip for VH-HYC back into its normal position thereby removing his memory cue for the need to coordinate with Adelaide.

Air Traffic Services (ATS) management personnel have subsequently formalised a procedure for marking flight strips which should facilitate scanning techniques and help guard against items being overlooked.

Significant Factors

The following factors were considered relevant to the development of the incident:

1. The controller probably inadvertently lost his reminder cue to coordinate the aircraft's change of level with Adelaide ATS.