**Aviation Safety Investigation Report 199602420** 

**Boeing Co B737-400** 

01 August 1996

## Aviation Safety Investigation Report 199602420

Readers are advised that the Australian Transport Safety Bureau investigates for the sole purpose of enhancing transport safety. Consequently, Bureau reports are confined to matters of safety significance and may be misleading if used for any other purposes.

Investigations commenced on or before 30 June 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the Executive Director of the Bureau in accordance with Part 2A of the Air Navigation Act 1920.

Investigations commenced after 1 July 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the Executive Director of the Bureau in accordance with the Transport Safety Investigation Act 2003 (TSI Act). Reports released under the TSI Act are not admissible as evidence in any civil or criminal proceedings.

NOTE: All air safety occurrences reported to the ATSB are categorised and recorded. For a detailed explanation on Category definitions please refer to the ATSB website at www.atsb.gov.au.

199602420

The Bureau did not conduct an on scene investigation of this occurrence. The information presented below was obtained from information supplied to the Bureau.

Occurrence Number: 199602420 Occurrence Type: Incident

**Location:** 40km S Canberra, Aerodrome

State: ACT Inv Category: 4

**Date:** Thursday 01 August 1996

**Time:** 1410 hours **Time Zone** EST

Highest Injury Level: None

Aircraft Manufacturer: Boeing Co Aircraft Model: 737-476

Aircraft Registration: VH-TJX Serial Number: 28150

**Type of Operation:** Air Transport Domestic High Capacity Passenger

Damage to Aircraft: Nil

Departure Point:Sydney NSWDeparture Time:1348 ESTDestination:Canberra ACT

Approved for Release: Friday, October 18, 1996

The Boeing 737 was being radar vectored to a right base position for a runway 35 Instrument Landing System (ILS) approach. The aircraft was in instrument meteorological conditions and had been cleared to descend to 6,000 ft on a heading of 220 degrees, with an expectation of a right turn to intercept the localiser. When no response was heard from the crew of the B737, following an instruction to turn further right onto the base leg for runway 35, the controller repeated the instruction several times on backup radio equipment. Another aircraft in the area confirmed that all the radio transmissions had been clearly received. During this time, the B737 tracked outside controlled airspace below the minimum radar vectoring altitude. When communications were re-established some two minutes later, the controller issued the crew with an altitude alert and instructed them to climb the aircraft to 7,000 ft. The ILS was re-intercepted from the left, after which an approach and landing was carried out without further incident.

It was later determined that the co-pilot had inadvertently preselected the Canberra ground frequency instead of the relevant tower frequency whilst preparing for the arrival to Canberra. When nothing was heard on the radio for a period longer than expected, and cockpit instruments indicated that the aircraft was approaching the localiser track, the pilot in command became concerned about the position of the aircraft in relation to the surrounding terrain and instructed the co-pilot to transmit, "maintaining six thousand". The reply to this transmission was for the crew to contact Canberra Approach. At this time it became apparent to the crew that the active frequency being monitored was Canberra Ground. Whilst there was no radar altimeter indication or ground proximity warning system alert, the crew commenced terrain avoidance procedures in conjunction with the altitude alert issued by the controller.

As a result of this occurrence the company has produced an article in its flight safety journal to emphasise the ongoing need for situational awareness at all times, and the requirement to pre-brief aspects of the approach, including safety heights and contingency plans, in the event of loss of communications.